

APPENDIX G: STAPLEE EVALUATION

| | |
|--|----------|
| <i>Unincorporated County</i> | 2 |
| Jasper County (Unincorporated) Mitigation Alternatives | 2 |
| STAPLEE Evaluation Summary Table | 3 |
| <i>Incorporated Cities</i> | 6 |
| Mitigation Strategies Worksheet | 6 |
| STAPLEE Evaluation Instructions..... | 10 |
| Incorporated Cities STAPLEE Worksheets | 12 |
| Baxter | 12 |
| Colfax | 16 |
| Kellogg | 22 |
| Lambs Grove | 25 |
| Lynnville..... | 27 |
| Mingo..... | 32 |
| Monroe | 39 |
| Newton | 42 |
| Oakland Acres | 46 |
| Prairie City | 51 |
| Reasnor | 57 |
| Sully | 61 |
| Valeria | 65 |

APPENDIX G: STAPLEE EVALUATION

Unincorporated County

Jasper County (Unincorporated) Mitigation Alternatives

| <i>Jasper County Alternatives</i> | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <i>Alternative</i> | <i>Description</i> |
| 1. All Hazards | |
| 1.1 | Continue to enforce building codes |
| 1.2 | Continue to enforce zoning, subdivision, private well and septic system ordinances |
| 1.3 | Support NOAA Weather Radio Warning system as part of county's public education efforts. |
| 1.4 | Install warning sirens that can be activated by county staff in Ira and Kilduff |
| 1.5 | Investigate the feasibility of installing sirens in other unincorporated areas |
| 1.6 | Continue siren testing practices |
| 1.7 | Continue Alert Iowa emergency notification system |
| 1.8 | Continue GIS Program |
| 1.9 | Continue to trim or remove trees and brush from county right of way |
| 1.10 | Conduct annual review of Emergency Operations Plan |
| 1.11 | Educate public regarding communications failures |
| 1.12 | Establish redundancy in communications systems |
| 1.13 | Identify potential Public Assistance 406 mitigation opportunities |
| 2. Flood | |
| 2.1 | Continue to enforce floodplain ordinance |
| 2.2 | Continue to review and update floodplain ordinance, as necessary |
| 2.3 | Continue to conduct studies of streams related to bridge construction |
| 2.4 | Continue incorporating mitigation measures into bridge and road construction |
| 2.5 | Continue and expand public information/education initiatives |
| 3. Tornado and High Wind | |
| 3.1 | Construct tornado shelter for County Maintenance Shop |
| 3.2 | Construct tornado shelter for Community Center |
| 3.3 | Continue Storm Spotter Program |
| 3.3 | Continue and Expand Public Education Initiatives (Tornado/High Wind) |
| 4. Lightning and Hail | |
| 4.1 | Continue and expand public information/education initiatives |
| 5. Winter Storms | |

| Jasper County Alternatives | |
|---|---|
| Alternative | Description |
| 5.1 | Continue and expand public information and education activities |
| 5.2 | Continue snow removal program |
| 6. Extreme Heat | |
| 6.1 | Continue and expand public information/education initiatives |
| 7. Drought | |
| 7.1 | Continue and expand public information/education initiatives |
| 8 Wildfire | |
| 8.1 | Develop and implement program to educate public on measures to reduce risk of fire hazard |
| 9 Dam Failure (Added Hazard - Also addressed in All Hazard Measures) | |
| 8.2 | Develop and implement program to educate public on dam failure |

STAPLEE Evaluation Summary Table

| Jasper County STAPLEE Evaluation (+) Favorable (-) Less Favorable 0 Neutral N Not Applicable | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.10 |
| Social | | | | | | | | | | |
| Community Acceptance | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | 0 |
| Effect on Segment of Population | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Technical | | | | | | | | | | |
| Technically Feasible | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | N | N | N | N | + | N |
| Solve Problem | + | + | + | + | N | + | + | + | + | + |
| Secondary Impacts | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Administrative | | | | | | | | | | |
| Staffing | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Funding | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Maintenance | N | N | N | - | N | + | + | + | + | N |
| Political | | | | | | | | | | |
| Political Support | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | + | + |
| Public Support | 0 | 0 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Legal | | | | | | | | | | |
| Local Authority | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Laws/Ordinances | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Economic | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current Funds Available | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Benefits | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |

| Jasper County STAPLEE Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| (+) Favorable (-) Less Favorable 0 Neutral N Not Applicable | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.10 |
| Cost Reasonable | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Contribute Econ. Goals | + | + | + | + | N | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 |
| Pending Outside Funds | N | N | N | + | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Environment | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comply Laws/Regulations | + | + | N | + | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Effect on Environment | + | + | N | 0 | N | N | N | N | + | N |

| Jasper County STAPLEE Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|
| (+) Favorable (-) Less Favorable 0 Neutral N Not Applicable | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.11 | 1.12 | 1.13 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.1 | |
| Social | | | | | | | | | | |
| Community Acceptance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Effect on Segment of Population | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Technical | | | | | | | | | | |
| Technically Feasible | + | + | + | N | N | + | + | N | + | |
| Solve Problem | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | |
| Secondary Impacts | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | |
| Administrative | | | | | | | | | | |
| Staffing | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Funding | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | - |
| Maintenance | N | + | + | N | N | N | + | N | N | |
| Political | | | | | | | | | | |
| Political Support | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Public Support | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | |
| Legal | | | | | | | | | | |
| Local Authority | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Laws/Ordinances | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Economic | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current Funds Available | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | - |
| Benefits | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Cost Reasonable | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Contribute Econ. Goals | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | N |
| Pending Outside Funds | N | N | | N | N | N | N | N | N | + |
| Environment | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comply Laws/Regulations | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | + |
| Effect on Environment | N | N | N | N | N | N | + | N | N | N |

| Jasper County STAPLEE Evaluation | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| (+) Favorable (-) Less Favorable 0 Neutral N Not Applicable | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 9.1 |
| Social | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Community Acceptance | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Effect on Segment of Population | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Technical | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Technically Feasible | + | N | N | N | N | + | N | N | N | N | N |
| Solve Problem | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Secondary Impacts | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Administrative | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Staffing | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Funding | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Maintenance | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Political | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Political Support | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Public Support | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Legal | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Local Authority | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Laws/Ordinances | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Economic | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current Funds Available | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Benefits | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Cost Reasonable | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Contribute Econ. Goals | N | N | N | N | N | + | N | N | N | + | N |
| Pending Outside Funds | + | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Environment | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comply Laws/Regulations | + | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | + | N |
| Effect on Environment | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |

| Jasper County STAPLEE Evaluation Summary | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.10 |
| Social | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Technical | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Administrative | 2 | 2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Political | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Legal | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Economic | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 |

| Jasper County STAPLEE Evaluation Summary | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.10 |
| Environmental | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 12 | 12 | 12 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 10 |

| Jasper County STAPLEE Evaluation Summary | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | 1.11 | 1.12 | 1.13 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.1 |
| Social | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Technical | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Administrative | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| Political | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Legal | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Economic | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Environmental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 11 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 14 | 9 | 8 |

| Jasper County STAPLEE Evaluation Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 8.1 | 8.2 | 9.1 |
| Social | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Technical | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Administrative | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Political | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Legal | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Economic | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| Environmental | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 8 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 9 |

Incorporated Cities

Mitigation Strategies Worksheet

| Mitigation Strategies | |
|--|-------------------------|
| Emergency Services | New (N) Existing (E) |
| Train emergency/disaster first responders, including: EMTs, Firefighters, Law Enforcement, K-9 Search & Rescue Teams and CERTs | |

| Mitigation Strategies | |
|--|--|
| Develop and equip emergency/disaster first responders, including: EMTs, Firefighters, Law Enforcement, K-9 Search & Rescue Teams and CERTs | |
| Equip all emergency vehicles with emergency responses guidebooks | |
| Train key county and community leaders | |
| Equip emergency vehicles with GPS units | |
| Purchase road closure barricades | |
| Install computers in emergency response vehicles | |
| Purchase standby pumps | |
| Purchase portable pumps | |
| Purchase new pagers for fire department | |
| Provide equipment/personnel for tornado cleanup | |
| Update anti-virus software | |
| Install flood gage | |
| Install hazard signs in county campgrounds | |
| Install warning devices at low water crossings | |
| Establish/maintain warning system (Siren, CodeRed, Reverse 911) | |
| Identify vulnerable populations | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Structural | |
| Clean agricultural drains | |
| Clean sewage lagoons and install rock | |
| Implement sewer separation projects | |
| Flush dead end mains | |
| Install PVC to replace existing clay sewer lines | |
| Construct storm sewer drainage | |
| Clean and deepen ditches | |
| Replace sewer lines | |
| Ensure no leaks exist in water supply and sewer lines | |
| Conduct sanitary sewer system study | |
| Conduct retention pond study | |
| Conduct feasibility study to protect high service pump station | |

| Mitigation Strategies | |
|--|--|
| Implement sanitary sewer maintenance program | |
| Install rip rap | |
| Install curbs/gutters in areas of standing water | |
| Build drainage culverts | |
| Install water impervious manhole covers | |
| Implement tile project or catch basin | |
| Elevate levees | |
| Monitor existing flood gate | |
| Construct floodwalls | |
| Conduct annual levee inspections | |
| Construct dams | |
| Conduct annual dam inspection | |
| Construct Tornado Shelters to FEMA standards | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Property Protection | |
| Retrofit emergency/storm shelters | |
| Acquire flood prone properties | |
| Elevate flood prone properties | |
| Retrofit critical facilities, including: EOCs, Community Centers, public works facilities | |
| Relocate critical facilities | |
| Install chain link fences around propane tanks | |
| Increase lighting at city facilities | |
| Install surveillance cameras | |
| Join NFIP to ensure flood insurance is available in community | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Public Education | |
| Make educational materials available to the public to improve people's awareness of hazard risks and ways to prevent or reduce their impact (mitigation) | |
| Provide safe room education for builders and developers | |

| Mitigation Strategies | |
|--|--|
| Promote landscaping practices to reduce hazard risks | |
| Educate community regarding severe repetitive loss properties and mitigation measures | |
| Encourage citizens to purchase/use smoke detectors | |
| Educate public regarding health concerns and safety measures related to the disruption of water treatment and water distribution systems | |
| Educate decision makers, building managers/operators and occupants about health concerns and safety measures related to the disruption of water treatment and water distribution systems | |
| Establish Good Neighbor programs for winter storms | |
| Establish mitigation reference section in libraries (school and public) | |
| | |
| | |
| Preventive | |
| Develop wellhead protection ordinance | |
| Adopt/enforce burning restrictions | |
| Adopt/enforce tree trimming ordinance | |
| Adopt/enforce land use, zoning, and building codes that regulate construction in areas susceptible to flooding | |
| Adopt/enforce snow removal policies | |
| Establish/enforce “no dumping” ordinances for streams, rivers, drainage ditches | |
| Adopt nuisance ordinances to remove abandoned or severally deteriorated buildings susceptible to flood, tornado, wind, and fire hazards | |
| Establish/maintain sewer maintenance program | |
| Enforce storm water drainage ordinance (residential drainage system) | |
| Regulate 500-year floodplain | |
| Establish mutual aid agreements | |
| Integrate hazard mitigation measures into comprehensive plans, economic development plans, etc. | |
| Review and update Response, Recovery, and Mitigation Plans | |
| Enforce floodplain ordinances; review and update floodplain ordinances to ensure continued compliance with minimum NFIP requirements. | |
| Establish inter-jurisdictional agreements for building code and floodplain management code permitting and inspection | |
| | |
| | |

| Mitigation Strategies | |
|---|--|
| | |
| Natural Resources | |
| Develop and implement watershed studies and watershed plans | |
| Conduct study of groundwater problem | |
| Conduct study of river channels | |
| Support siltation removal projects | |
| | |
| Other | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

STAPLEE Evaluation Instructions

FEMA recommends using the STAPLEE evaluation as a strategies a method of prioritizing mitigation strategies. Below is an explanation of the STAPLEE criteria taken from FEMA’s How To Guide, 386-3.

| STAPLEE Evaluation Criteria | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---|
| S | Social | Is the measure acceptable to the community? Will the measure adversely affect one segment of the population? Will the result in an inadvertent negative treatment of one or more segments of the population? |
| T | Technical | Is the measure technically feasible? Does the measure solve the problem? Is the measure a whole or partial solution to the problem? |
| A | Administrative | Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding, and maintenance capability to implement the measure? |
| P | Political | Does the measure have the support of elected officials and the public? |
| L | Legal | Does the community have the legal authority to implement the measure? Are the proper laws, ordinances and resolutions in place to implement the measure? |
| E | Economic | Are there current sources of funds to implement the measure? What benefits will the action provide? Does the cost seem reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? Does the measure contribute to other community economic goals? What proposed measures should be considered but be “tabled” for implementation until outside funds are available? |

| STAPLEE Evaluation Criteria | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|---|
| E | Environment | Will the measure comply with local, state, and federal environmental laws or regulations? How will the measure affect the environment (land, water, endangered species)? |

INSTRUCTIONS ON RANKING

Following is a list of projects that may be completed in **name of city**. This list was prepared based on the mitigation worksheet you submitted. Including these projects in the mitigation plan does NOT mean your community is required to do them. These are potential mitigation activities your community could do in the future should funds become available.

Please complete the following on the worksheet.

Review the criteria in the STAPLEE Evaluation Criteria Table above. After considering the criteria, assign an overall rating to each alternative listed on the worksheet.

An additional 5 points will be added to the total rating for projects that the State of Iowa has identified as high priority actions in the State's 2010 Mitigation Plan. These actions are:

1. Develop/update/publicize emergency management plans [Note this is a current mitigation action for ALL Jasper County communities.]
2. Electrical utility retrofit/hardening
3. Construct, retrofit or maintain drainage systems (pipes, culverts, and channels) to provide adequate and proper functioning systems to include sewage systems and retention and detention systems.
4. Acquire flood prone properties and convert to open space/green space; or elevate to or above base flood elevation.
5. Construct public safe rooms for government facilities functions, critical facilities functions, recreational areas, manufactured home parks, schools and day care centers.

Incorporated Cities STAPLEE Worksheets

Baxter

| City: Baxter Strategy B1: Public Education - New - Library Mitigation Category: Public Education | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Order FEMA publications and make them available in the library. City Clerk will coordinate with volunteer library staff to select publications. Publications may be ordered from FEMA and sent directly to library at no cost to the city. | | |
| Cost to implement | no cost | |
| Funding source | n/a | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

| City: Baxter Strategy B2: 28E Agreement to issue building permits and conduct inspections Mitigation Category: | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|---|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| | TOTAL | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Enter 28E Agreement with Jasper County Building Official. Under agreement, the city will adopt the Jasper County Building Code applications for building permits will be made directly to Jasper County Building Office. The County will collect the permit fee and issue the building permit and conduct required building code inspections. The City will receive 10% of building permit fee. | | |
| Cost to implement | None. | |
| Funding source | Building permit fees (90% of fee to County; 10% of fee to City) | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

| City: Baxter Strategy B3: Identify property vulnerable to hazard damage Mitigation Category: Property Protection | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 0 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 10 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Additional Project Information Required: Conduct study to identify buildings vulnerable to damage from hazard events due to building condition. | | |
| Cost to implement | No cost | |
| Funding source | Local - No additional cost to community. Included in current budget | |
| Responsible party | Mayor/Council | |

| City: Baxter Strategy B4: Purchase/remove vulnerable properties Mitigation Category: Property Protectio | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|--------------------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 0 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 10 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Additional Project Information Required: Following identification of vulnerable buildings city will establish program to acquire and remove. The project will eliminate the risk of damage to buildings from hazard events, reduce risk to other buildings from flying debris (high winds, tornados), and reduce costs of hazard events. | | |
| Cost to implement | To be determined as part of B3 | |
| Funding source | Local - Annual Budget | |
| Responsible party | Mayor/Council | |

Colfax

| City: Colfax Strategy C1: Install water impervious manhole covers Mitigation Category: Structural | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|--------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | -1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 10 |
| State Priority: Retrofit drainage system to include sewer systems | | 5 |
| Implementation of the measure is dependent upon the community receiving outside funding. Possible funding sources include: CBCG, State Revolving Loan Program, HMGP | | |
| Cost to implement | 5,000 | |
| Funding source | HMGP | |
| Responsible party | Public Works | |

| City: Colfax Strategy C2: Install flood gates Mitigation Category: Structural | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|--------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | -1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 10 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| | | |
| Cost to implement | 10,000 | |
| Funding source | HMGP | |
| Responsible party | Public Works | |

| City: Colfax Strategy C3: Public Education Library Mitigation Category: Public Education | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| | TOTAL | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Order FEMA publications and make them available in the library. City Clerk will coordinate with volunteer library staff to select publications. Publications may be ordered from FEMA and send directly to library at no cost to the city. | | |
| Cost to implement | no cost | |
| Funding source | n/a | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

| City: Colfax Strategy C4/C5 : NFIP Participation Mitigation Category: Property Protection | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|--------------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 12 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| C4) Continue to enforce floodplain ordinance and C5) Continue to review floodplain ordinance and update as necessary. Continued participation is required to ensure NFIP flood insurance is available in the community. | | |
| Cost to implement | 500 | |
| Funding source | Included in budget | |
| Responsible party | Floodplain administrator | |

| City: Colfax Strategy C6: Identify Stream/streambank improvements to protect WWTP Mitigation Category: | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|----------------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| | | |
| Cost to implement | No additional cost | |
| Funding source | Local budget | |
| Responsible party | Mayor/Council/Public Works | |

| City: Colfax Strategy C7: Identify potential 406 mitigation measures Mitigation Category: Structural | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 12 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| The City of Colfax received 406 funding for structural projects in recent declared events. | | |
| Cost to implement | No additional cost | |
| Funding source | Local annual budget | |
| Responsible party | Public Works | |

Kellogg

| City: Kellogg Strategy K1/K2: NFIP Participation Category: Property Protection | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|--------------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 12 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| K1) Continue to enforce floodplain ordinance and K2) Continue to review floodplain ordinance and update as necessary. Continued participation is required to ensure NFIP flood insurance is available in the community. | | |
| Cost to implement | 500 | |
| Funding source | Included in budget | |
| Responsible party | Floodplain administrator | |

| City: Kellogg Strategy K3: 28E Agreement to issue building permits and conduct inspections Mitigation Category: Prevention | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| | TOTAL | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Continue 28E Agreement with Jasper County Building Official. | | |
| Cost to implement | None. | |
| Funding source | Building permit fees (90% of fee to County; 10% of fee to City) | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

| City: Kellogg Strategy K4: Establish 28E Agreement for Floodplain Permitting/Inspection Mitigation Category: Prevention | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| | TOTAL | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Current status - not implemented due to no development in the floodplain. If future development, will consider adding floodplain permitting to current 28E agreement OR establishing separate agreement. | | |
| Cost to implement | None. | |
| Funding source | Building permit fees (90% of fee to County; 10% of fee to City) | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

Lambs Grove

| City: Lambs Grove Strategy LG : Identify measures to reduce run-off Mitigation Category: | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|------------------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 11 |
| Run-off from the school property located at a higher elevation east of the community, results in flash flooding in the city. This project would identify measures and develop an implementation plan to reduce run-off. | | |
| Cost to implement | No additional cost | |
| Funding source | Local budget | |
| Responsible party | Mayor/Council (Public Works) | |

| City: Lambs Grove Strategy LG2: 28E Agreement to issue building permits and conduct inspections Mitigation Category: | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|---|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 11 |
| Enter 28E Agreement with Jasper County Building Official. Under agreement, applications for building permits will be made directly to Jasper County Building Office. The County will collect the permit fee and issue the building permit and conduct required building code inspections. The City will receive 10% of building permit fee. | | |
| Cost to implement | None. | |
| Funding source | Building permit fees (90% of fee to County; 10% of fee to City) | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

Lynnville

| City: Lynnville Strategy L1: Public Education Library Mitigation Category: Public Education | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Order FEMA publications and make them available in the library. Following an event or during public education opportunities (for example, tornado awareness week), City Clerk will coordinate Jasper Co EMC and library staff to select publications. Publications may be ordered from FEMA and send directly to library at no cost to the city. | | |
| Cost to implement | no cost | |
| Funding source | n/a | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

| City: Lynnville Strategy L2: 28E Agreement to issue building permits and conduct inspections Mitigation Category: | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|---|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Enter 28E Agreement with Jasper County Building Official. Under agreement, applications for building permits will be made directly to Jasper County Building Office. The County will collect the permit fee and issue the building permit and conduct required building code inspections. The City will receive 10% of building permit fee. | | |
| Cost to implement | None. | |
| Funding source | Building permit fees (90% of fee to County; 10% of fee to City) | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

| City: Lynnville Strategy L3: Join NFIP Mitigation Category: Property Protection | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 0 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 0 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| | TOTAL | 9 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| The 2011 Plan measure was not implemented due to no SFHA development. The city will continue to monitor and consider if circumstances change. Therefore, the measure is included in the Plan Update. | | |
| Cost to implement | No cost to join program | |
| Funding source | N/A | |
| Responsible party | Designated floodplain administrator/Mayor/Council | |

| City: Lynnville Strategy L4: Clean sewage lagoons and install rip-rap Mitigation Category: Structural | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|----------------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| | TOTAL | 12 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| <p>As part of the city's on-going sewer maintenance program, the city's sanitary sewer system is tested and projects to improve the operation of the system are prioritized and implemented.</p> <p>Cleaning the sewage lagoons and installing rip-rap to control erosion of the lagoon's has been identified. The annual cost of the sewage maintenance contract is \$15,000.</p> | | |
| Cost to implement | Included in budget | |
| Funding source | Sewer maintenance contract | |
| Responsible party | Public Works | |

| City: Lynnville Strategy L5: Reline existing clay sewer pipes Mitigation Category: Structural | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|---|---------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| | TOTAL | 12 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| <p>The city has identified existing clay sewer pipes that require replacement or repair. The city has determined relining existing pipes is the most cost effective measure to prevent future damages such as overload of the system and sewer backup into residences.</p> <p>The annual contract cost is approximately \$15,000. Improvements are also funded via bonds repaid using TIF and utility fees.</p> | | |
| Cost to implement | 15,000 annual | |
| Funding source | Sewer fund | |
| Responsible party | Public Works | |

Mingo

| City: Mingo Strategy M1: Purchase emergency generators Mitigation Category: | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|--------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 12 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Cost to implement | 15,000 | |
| Funding source | HMGP | |
| Responsible party | Public Works | |

| City: Mingo Strategy M2: Public Education Library Mitigation Category: Public Education | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| | TOTAL | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Order FEMA publications and make them available in the library. City Clerk will coordinate with volunteer library staff to select publications. Publications may be ordered from FEMA and send directly to library at no cost to the city. | | |
| Cost to implement | no cost | |
| Funding source | n/a | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

| City: Mingo Strategy M3/M4: NFIP Participation Mitigation Category: Property Protection | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 0 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 0 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 9 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| M3) Continue to enforce floodplain ordinance and M4) Continue to review floodplain ordinance and update as necessary. These measures contribute to the city continued participation in the NFIP as a member in good standing. | | |
| Cost to implement | No cost to join program | |
| Funding source | N/A | |
| Responsible party | Designated floodplain administrator/Mayor/Council | |

| City: Mingo Strategy M5: 28E Agreement to issue building permits and conduct inspections Mitigation Category: Preventive | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|---|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| | TOTAL | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Continue 28E Agreement with Jasper County Building Official. Under agreement, applications for building permits will be made directly to Jasper County Building Office. The County will collect the permit fee and issue the building permit and conduct required building code inspections. The City will receive 10% of building permit fee. With approval of Jasper County Board of Supervisors, agreement may include issuing floodplain development permits. | | |
| Cost to implement | None. | |
| Funding source | Building permit fees (90% of fee to County; 10% of fee to City) | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

| City: Mingo Strategy M6: Construct community tornado shelter Mitigation Category: Property Protection | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | -1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | -1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | -1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 7 |
| State Priority: Construct public safe rooms | | 5 |
| Cost to implement | 310.000 | |
| Funding source | HMGP | |
| Responsible party | Mayor/Council | |

| City: Mingo Strategy M7: Rehabilitate two sewage lagoons Mitigation Category: Structural | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| | TOTAL | 12 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| The rehabilitation of the city's two existing lagoons which are located east of the is a continuation of the city's efforts to bring the city into compliance with IDNR requirements. | | |
| Cost to implement | \$412,500 (CDBG \$300,000; Local Match \$112,000) | |
| Funding source | CDBG and Local | |
| Responsible party | Mayor/Council/Public Works | |

| City: Mingo Strategy M8: Add third lagoon to wastewater treatment system Mitigation Category: Structural | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | -1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | -1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| | TOTAL | 8 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| The implementation of this measure will bring the city into full compliance with IDNR requirements. The implementation of this project depends on obtaining additional grant funds. Previous improvements have been funded using CDBG and local funds. | | |
| Cost to implement | 1,051,000 (Grant; Local Match (Revolving Fiance Bond Loans, Sewer Revenue)) | |
| Funding source | Grant funding and Local Match | |
| Responsible party | Mayor/Council/Public Works | |

Monroe

| City: Monroe Strategy MO1: Public Education - Library Mitigation Category: Public Education | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Order FEMA publications and make them available in the library. City Clerk will coordinate with EMC and library staff to select publications. Publications may be ordered from FEMA and send directly to library at no cost to the city. | | |
| Cost to implement | no cost | |
| Funding source | n/a | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

| City: Monroe Strategy MO2/MO3: NFIP Participation Mitigation Category: Property Protection | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|--------------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| | TOTAL | 12 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| MO2) Continue to enforce floodplain ordinance and MO3) Continue to review floodplain ordinance and update as necessary. Continued participation is required to ensure NFIP flood insurance is available in the community. | | |
| Cost to implement | 500 | |
| Funding source | Included in budget | |
| Responsible party | Floodplain administrator | |

| City: Monroe Strategy MO4: 28E Agreement to issue building permits and conduct inspections Mitigation Category: | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|---|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Enter 28E Agreement with Jasper County Building Official. Under agreement, applications for building permits will be made directly to Jasper County Building Office. The County will collect the permit fee and issue the building permit and conduct required building code inspections. The City will receive 10% of building permit fee. | | |
| Cost to implement | None. | |
| Funding source | Building permit fees (90% of fee to County; 10% of fee to City) | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

Newton

| City: Newton Strategy N1: Reline existing clay sewer pipes Mitigation Category: Structural | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 12 |
| State Priority: Retrofit drainage system to include sewer systems | | 5 |
| This is an on-going project currently in progress. The estimated cost of 254,237 of local funds from sanitary sewer rates may be reduced if grant funding could be obtained. | | |
| Cost to implement | \$254,237 | |
| Funding source | Local (Sewer Rates) | |
| Responsible party | Public Works Director | |

| City: Newton Strategy N2: Implement detention pond project Mitigation Category: Structural | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | -1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 10 |
| State Priority: Retrofit drainage system to include sewer systems and retention and detention systems | | 5 |
| A study conducted in-house identified the construction of a detention pond in the Callison Park area would reduce damages caused by overland flooding occurring as a result of heavy rain | | |
| Cost to implement | 100,000 | |
| Funding source | HMGP | |
| Responsible party | Public Works Director | |

| City: Newton Strategy N3: Maintain Public Education Library Mitigation Category: Public Education | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|-------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 11 |
| Order FEMA publications and make them available in the library. City of Newton librarian will coordinate with EMC to select publications. Publications may be ordered from FEMA and send directly to library at no cost to the city. | | |
| Cost to implement | no cost | |
| Funding source | n/a | |
| Responsible party | Library/EMC | |

| City: Newton Strategy N4/N5: NFIP Participation Mitigation Category: Property Protection | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|--------------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 12 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| N4) Continue to enforce floodplain ordinance and N5) Continue to review floodplain ordinance and update as necessary. Continued participation is required to ensure NFIP flood insurance is available in the community. | | |
| Cost to implement | No additional cost | |
| Funding source | Included in budget | |
| Responsible party | Floodplain administrator | |

Oakland Acres

| City: Oakland Acres Strategy OA1: Replace storm siren Mitigation Category: Emergency Services | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | -1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | -1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 8 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| The measure cannot be implemented with outside funding. Non-federal (local) match would also need to come, at least in part, from small non-federal grants. The HMGP breakdown of 75% federal and 25% non-federal was used. If HMGP funding is not available, the 10% state match would need to be met through small grants or other non-federal | | |
| Cost to implement | 14,000 | |
| Funding source | HMGP | |
| Responsible party | Mayor/Council | |

| City: Oakland Acres Strategy OA2: Elevate lake berm Mitigation Category: Structural | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | -1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 10 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| The berm provides protection to one resident and infrastructure (roads). The city estimates the cost would be \$1,800. | | |
| Cost to implement | 1,800 | |
| Funding source | HMGP | |
| Responsible party | Mayor/Council | |

| City: Oakland Acres Strategy OA3: Construct community tornado shelter Mitigation Category: Property Protection | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | -1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| | TOTAL | 10 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Community of 156 residents. There is currently no shelter in the community. Implementation depends on HMGP funding. Local match would be met via non-federal grants and community fund raising and budget. | | |
| Cost to implement | 158,000 | |
| Funding source | HMGP | |
| Responsible party | Mayor/Council | |

| City: Oakland Acres Strategy OA 4: 28E Agreement to issue building permits and conduct inspections Mitigation Category: Preventive | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|---|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| | TOTAL | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Continue 28E Agreement with Jasper County Building Official. Under agreement, applications for building permits will be made directly to Jasper County Building Office. The County will collect the permit fee and issue the building permit and conduct required building code inspections. The City will receive 10% of building permit fee. With approval of Jasper County Board of Supervisors, agreement may include issuing floodplain development permits. | | |
| Cost to implement | None. | |
| Funding source | Building permit fees (90% of fee to County; 10% of fee to City) | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

| City: Oakland Acres Strategy OA5: Identify potential 406 mitigation measures Mitigation Category: Structural | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|------------------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 12 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| The City of Oakland Acres received 406 funding for structural projects in recent declared events. | | |
| Cost to implement | No additional cost | |
| Funding source | Local annual budget | |
| Responsible party | Mayor/Council (Public Works) | |

Prairie City

| City: Prairie City Strategy PC1: Identify priority stormwater management projects Mitigation Category: Structural | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|--------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Identifying priority projects and developing an implementation plan to reduce stormwater runoff is a cost effective way to reduce risks from stormwater runoff. | | |
| Cost to implement | No additional cost | |
| Funding source | Local | |
| Responsible party | Mayor/Council | |

| City: Prairie City Strategy PC2: Reline existing clay sewer pipes Mitigation Category: Structural | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| | TOTAL | 12 |
| State Priority: Retrofit drainage system to include sewer systems | | 5 |
| This measure was identified in the 2011 Plan. It was not implement due to lack of funding. CDBG funds will be used to implement the project scheduled to begin in 2017. | | |
| Cost to implement | 625,000 (CDBG 500,000; Local 125,000) | |
| Funding source | CDBG/Local | |
| Responsible party | Public Works | |

| City: Prairie City Strategy PC3: Install water impervious manhole covers Mitigation Category: Structural | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | -1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| | TOTAL | 10 |
| State Priority: Retrofit drainage system to include sewer systems | | 5 |
| Implementation of the measure is dependent upon the community receiving outside funding. Possible funding sources include: CBCG, State Revolving Loan Program, HMGP | | |
| Cost to implement | 5,000 | |
| Funding source | Potential Grant funding HMGP/CDBG/ State Revolving Loan Program | |
| Responsible party | Public Works | |

| City: Prairie City Strategy PC4: Protect critical facility (water wells) Mitigation Category: | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|---|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | -1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| | TOTAL | 10 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| The loss of potable water poses a significant health and safety threat. Constructing a floodwall to protect the water supply is a cost effective measure. Funding estimate based on HMGP grant. Funding from other sources such as CDBG may change the cost to the local community. | | |
| Cost to implement | 230,000 | |
| Funding source | \$230,000 (Federal 172,500; State 23,000; Local 34,500) | |
| Responsible party | Pubic Works | |

| City: Prairie City Strategy PC5: Public Education Library Mitigation Category: Public Education | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Order FEMA publications and make them available in the library. City Clerk will coordinate with volunteer library staff to select publications. Publications may be ordered from FEMA and send directly to library at no cost to the city. | | |
| Cost to implement | no cost | |
| Funding source | n/a | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

| City: Prairie City Strategy PC6/PC7: NFIP Participation Mitigation Category: Property Protection | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|--------------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| | TOTAL | 12 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| PC6) Continue to enforce floodplain ordinance and PC7) Continue to review floodplain ordinance and update as necessary. Continued participation is required to ensure NFIP flood insurance is available in the community. | | |
| Cost to implement | 500 | |
| Funding source | Included in budget | |
| Responsible party | Floodplain administrator | |

Reasnor

| City: Reasnor Strategy R1: Purchase standby pump Mitigation Category: Emergency Services | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|--------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 12 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Avoiding the loss of critical or essential services during periods of power outages by installing a standby pump is a cost effective measure. It avoids the loss of the service, reduces the risk of damage and/or threats to the publics health and safety. | | |
| Cost to implement | 6,000 | |
| Funding source | HMGP | |
| Responsible party | Mayor/City Council | |

| City: Reasnor Strategy R2/R3 : NFIP Participation Mitigation Category: Property Protection | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|--------------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| | TOTAL | 12 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| R2) Continue to enforce floodplain ordinance and R3) Continue to review floodplain ordinance and update as necessary. Continued participation is required to ensure NFIP flood insurance is available in the community. | | |
| Cost to implement | 100 | |
| Funding source | Included in budget | |
| Responsible party | Floodplain administrator | |

| City: Reasnor Strategy R4: 28E Agreement to issue building permits and conduct inspections Mitigation Category: | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|---|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Enter 28E Agreement with Jasper County Building Official. Under agreement, the city Jasper County Building Code applications for building permits will be made directly to Jasper County Building Office. The County will collect the permit fee and issue the building permit and conduct required building code inspections. The City will receive 10% of building permit fee. With approval of Jasper County Board of Supervisors, agreement may also include floodplain development permitting. | | |
| Cost to implement | None. | |
| Funding source | Building permit fees (90% of fee to County; 10% of fee to City) | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

| City: Reasnor Strategy R5: Establish 28E Agreement for Floodplain Permitting/Inspection Mitigation Category: Prevention | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| | TOTAL | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Current status - not implemented due to no development in the floodplain. If future development, will consider adding floodplain permitting to current 28E agreement OR establishing separate agreement. | | |
| Cost to implement | None. | |
| Funding source | Building permit fees (90% of fee to County; 10% of fee to City) | |
| Responsible party | Mayor/Council | |

Sully

| City: Sully Strategy S1: Clean sewage lagoons and install rip-rap Mitigation Category: Structural | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|--------------------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 12 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| The current city budget includes \$8,000 for maintenance and repair of the lagoons. | | |
| Cost to implement | Included in budget | |
| Funding source | Sewer maintenance budget | |
| Responsible party | Public Works | |

| City: Sully Strategy S2: Reline existing clay sewer pipes Mitigation Category: Structural | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|---|--------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 12 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Possible funding sources include the DNR State Revolving Loan Fund (SRF) and/or CDBG. The local match would be covered by funding from non-federal grant programs and utility increases. The amount of utility increase would be determined by the availability of grant funding. The estimated cost breakdown is for HMGP funding. Unavailability of HMGP funding would result in higher costs to the community. | | |
| Cost to implement | 175,000 | |
| Funding source | HMGP | |
| Responsible party | Public Works | |

| City: Sully Strategy S3: Public Education Library Mitigation Category: Public Education | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| | TOTAL | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Order FEMA publications and make them available in the library. City Clerk will coordinate with library staff to select publications. Publications may be ordered from FEMA and send directly to library at no cost to the city. | | |
| Cost to implement | no cost | |
| Funding source | n/a | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

| City: Sully Strategy S4: 28E Agreement to issue building permits and conduct inspections Mitigation Category: | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|---|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| TOTAL | | 11 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| Enter 28E Agreement with Jasper County Building Official. Under agreement, applications for building permits will be made directly to Jasper County Building Office. The County will collect the permit fee and issue the building permit and conduct required building code inspections. The City will receive 10% of building permit fee. | | |
| Cost to implement | None. | |
| Funding source | Building permit fees (90% of fee to County; 10% of fee to City) | |
| Responsible party | City Clerk | |

Valeria

| City: Valeria Strategy V1: Replace storm siren Mitigation Category: Emergency Services | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---------------|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | -1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | -1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | -1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | 7 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| The measure cannot be implemented with outside funding. Non-federal (local) match would also need to come, at least in part, from small non-federal grants. The HMGP breakdown of 75% federal and 25% non-federal was used. If HMGP funding is not available, the 10% state match would need to be met through small grants or other non-federal | | |
| Cost to implement | 14,000 | |
| Funding source | HMGP | |
| Responsible party | Mayor/Council | |

| City: Valeria Strategy V2: Join NFIP Mitigation Category: Property Protection | | Rating 1 = Yes 0 = Neutral -1 = No |
|--|---|---|
| Does the measure minimize injuries and loss of life due to the impacts of natural hazards and/or reduce or eliminate damages to property due to natural hazards? | | 1 |
| Does the project reduce the need for response and/or recovery resources (i.e., funds, staff, equipment)? | | 1 |
| Is the measure acceptable to the community? If measure does not impact one segment of the community more than another, enter "0." If adverse or negative impact on one or more segments of the population, enter "-1." | | 0 |
| Is the measure technically feasible? | | 1 |
| Does the community have the staff, technical expertise, funding and maintenance capability to implement the project? If "no" indicate reason below: Staff: _____ Technical Expertise: _____ Funding: _____ Maintenance Capability: _____ | | 1 |
| Do elected officials support the measure? | | 0 |
| Does the general public support the measure? | | 0 |
| Does the community have the legal authority to implement the project? | | 1 |
| Are laws, ordinances, and resolutions in place to implement the measure? | | 1 |
| Does the community CURRENTLY have the funds to implement the project? If outside funding required, does community CURRENTLY have matching funds? | | 1 |
| Is the cost reasonable for the size of the problem and likely benefits? | | 1 |
| Does the project contribute to the economic goals of the community? If yes, identify economic goal below. | | 0 |
| Can implementation begin BEFORE outside funding is obtained? (For example, HMGP, SFR, CDBG) | | 1 |
| Does the project comply with environmental laws? A yes rating indicates that there are no known environmental issues and that the community will obtain all required permits prior to project implementation. | | 0 |
| | TOTAL | 9 |
| STATE PRIORITY: N/A | | 0 |
| This measure was included in the 2011 Plan and not implemented based on city council review of flood history, IDNR geocoding of insurable properties in the SFHA, and the potential of future development. The city will monitor these factors and consult with DNR. If situations change, the city will reconsider participation. | | |
| Cost to implement | No cost to join program | |
| Funding source | N/A | |
| Responsible party | Designated floodplain administrator/Mayor/Council | |